Spring Branch Independent School District

Treasure Forest Elementary School

2022-2023 Campus Improvement Plan



Mission Statement

It is the mission of Treasure Forest Elementary to ensure high levels of learning for all through mutual respect and shared commitment. Our students will learn and grow in a culture of excellence where staff, students, and parents are enthusiastic about the teaching and learning process.

Vision

We believe the authentic intelligence of staff and students along with our capacity to function as a professional learning community will ensure our mission is accomplished.

Core Values

Every Child: We put students at the heart of everything we do.

Collective Greatness: We, as a community, leverage our individual strengths to reach challenging goals.

Collaborative Spirit: We believe in each other and find joy in our work.

Limitless Curiosity: We never stop learning and growing.

Moral Compass: We are guided by strong character, ethics and integrity.

Core Characteristics of a T-2-4 Ready Graduate

Academically Prepared: Every Child finds joy in learning, has a learner's mindset and is motivated and equipped with the knowledge, skills and competencies to succeed in life.

Ethical & Service-Minded: Every Child acts with integrity, is personally responsible for their actions and is a civically-engaged community member.

Empathetic & Self-Aware: Every Child appreciates differences, forms secure relationships and cares for their own and others' emotional, mental and physical health.

Persistent & Adaptable: Every Child is fueled by their own passions, interests and goals and perseveres with confidence and courage.

Resourceful Problem-Solver: Every Child thinks critically and creatively and applies knowledge to find and solve problems.

Communicator & Collaborator: Every Child skillfully conveys thoughts, ideas, knowledge and information and is a receptive and responsive listener.

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	5
School Processes & Programs	8
Perceptions	10
Priority Problem Statements	11
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	12
Goals	14
Goal 1: STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT. Treasure Forest Elementary School students will master rigorous academic standards to ensure college and career readiness.	15
Goal 2: STUDENT SUPPORT. Treasure Forest Elementary School students will benefit from multi-tiered systems of support.	22
Goal 3: SAFE SCHOOLS. Treasure Forest Elementary School will ensure a safe and orderly environment.	25
Goal 4: FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY. Treasure Forest Elementary School will ensure efficient and effective fiscal management of resources and operations.	28
Campus Improvement Committee	29
Campus Funding Summary	30
Campus Funding Summary	30

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

Demographics Summary

TFE enrollment is approximately 450 in grades K-5. Our population continues to be a diverse combination of cultures predominately Hispanic

In addition, we have a Life Skills Unit and an APPLE unit on campus. The majority of our neighborhood consists of multi-unit apartment complexes. Our community partnerships include CIS, Boys and Girls group, VOE PTA and some local restaurant that support our campus through community events. We have two women's shelters in the area and the children living in the shelters attend TFE

TFE Demographic 2021-22

Subgroups	Number out of 429	Percentage
African American	32	7%
Hispanic	398	93%
White	12	3%
Asian	2	0.5%
Two or More	2	0.5%
American Indian-Alaskan	2	0.5%
Native		
ELL	338	79%
Bilingual-One-Way DL	314	70%
At - Risk	385	80%
GT	24	5%
SPED	74	21%
Economically Disadvantaged	440	98%
Homeless	32	8%
Mobility Rate	Around 22%. 2021-22,	it was 25%

Demographics Summary Strengths

- Hispanics are the largest percentage of students at Treasure Forest. All cultures are recognized and celebrated at TFE.
- Neighborhood school, close community. Many services are within walking distance of the school, allowing families to access resources as needed, such as CIS support and Girls and Boys groups
- Most of our staff members are bilingual, ready to support our parents in their first language

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1: The mobility rate is 25%, causing an inconsistency and instability in the student group throughout the year **Root Cause:** The lack of employment and domestic abuse issues in the community create an instability for students in maintaining the same residence all school year.

Problem Statement 2: Second language learners struggle with the curriculum, which does not always address their language challenges, especially in the areas of phonic and phonological awareness. **Root Cause:** Availability of Spanish materials for all content areas and all grade levels is not always present with the rigor required by the curriculum.

Problem Statement 3: The mobility rate of 27% affects the learning of students at Treasure Forest Elementary. During the 2021-22, mobility rate was still 27% even though the school put in place several initiatives for parents and students to understand the importance of coming to school everyday. **Root Cause:** The economically disadvantaged percentage of students at TFE causes an instability in families being able to maintain a permanent residence. In addition, there are two women's shelters in the area. Last school year the school received 39 Kinder students out 71 students with no schooling. In addition, 31 students in grades 1-5 were enrolled in the bilingual classes for a 7% of total enrollment.

Problem Statement 5: 59% of students met standard on Reading and 54% meet standards for the Math tests on STAAR 2022. While 35% met standard on the Science test **Root Cause:** Teachers lack the knowledge to use quality/quantitative data to plan, adjust and deliver effective first good instruction at Tier 1 and Tier II. Working with 70% below grade level in the classrooms tends to be overwhelming for several teachers and it is difficult to establish instructional priorities.

Problem Statement 6: Teachers are not using quality/quantitative student data to plan, differentiate and deliver effective instruction at Tier I and Tier 2. **Root Cause:** Teachers lack the knowledge to use quality/quantitative data to plan and deliver effective first good instruction at Tier 1.

Problem Statement 7: Professional development addressing the social emotional needs of the students from poverty is needed for staff. **Root Cause:** Teachers are compassionate, but the majority has not experienced the level of poverty which our students experience daily. Empathy and compassion at times is confused with low expectations.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

Student Learning - School Year 2021-22

School Year 2021-22

Treasure Forest Elementary had been an Improvement Requirement school for the last six years. All students K-5 had made some progress in their academics based on school trackers, especially for the areas of reading and math. Next school year, we will continue having an Intensive intervention program for all students in grades K-5. All students will received Reading intervention for 30-35 minutes a day based on their current level of performance.

		TFE S	TAAR D	АТА						
Grade Levels	2016	2017	2018	2019		2021			2022	
					Appr	Meets	Master	Appr	Meets	Master
3rd Reading	47%	38%	44%	42%	54%	21%	5%	57%+3	12% -9	11%+6
3rd Math	47%	47%	51%	52%	40%	13%	2%	48%+8	13%+1	5%+3
4th Reading	37%	53%	39%	31%	44%	15%	6%	65%+20	18%+3	6%
4th Math	29%	54%	51%	46%	45%	24%	14%	55%+10	13% -11	6% -8
4th Writing	28%	46%	25%	24%	38%	10%	4%	NA	NA	NA
5th Reading	27%	44%	52%	50%	40%	20%	9%	58%+18	8% -12	21%+12
5th Math	40%	55%	70%	55%	55%	15%	5%	53% -2	6% -9	25%+20
5th Science	27%	36%	49%	34%	38%	8%	2%	34% -4	15% +7	3 +1
All students	35%	47%	48%	42%	46%	19%	6%	53%	13%	11%
			2019			2021			2022	
		Appr	Meets	Master	Appr	Meets	Master	Appr	Meets	Master
	Reading	41%	15%	3%	45%	22%	7%	59% +14	29% +7	14% + 7

	Appr	Meets	Master	Appr	Meets	Master	Appr	Meets	Master
Reading	41%	15%	3%	45%	22%	7%	59% +14	29% +7	14%+7
Math	51%	19%	7%	47%	22%	8%	54%+7	24%+2	8%
Science	34%	18%	3%	38%	7%	2%	35% -3	15%+7	3%+1
Writing	24%	7%	0%	37%	6%	2%	NA	NA	NA

Early Literacy Progress Monitoring 2021-2022 (Baseline)

Early Literacy Progress Monitoring 2021-2022 (Baseline)

a 1	Beg	inning of `	Year	Mi	iddle of Y	ear	I	End of Yea	ar	Goal 90%
Grade Level	# On or	Total #	% On or Above Grade	# On or Above Grade	Total #	% On or Above Grade	# On or	Total #	% On or Above Grade Level	Met
Level				B or Above			D or Above			
K				53	74	72	50	77	65%	No
Level	D or Above			G or Above			I or Above			
1	20	41	49	19	58	33	49	62	79%	No
Level	I or Above			K or Above			M or Above			
2	36	73	49	40	74	78	44	73	60%	No
Level	I	N or Abov	ve	() or Abov	ve		P or Abov	e	
3	12	71	17	10	73	14	21	71	30%	No
Level	(Q or Abov	ve]	R or Abov	'e		S or Abov	e	
4	4	58	7	10	60	17	9	58	14%	No
Level	r	Г or Abov	'e	I	U or Abov	'e	,	V or Abov	re .	
5	15	77	20	15	75	20	36	72	50%	No

Student Learning Strengths

Student Learning Strengths

TFE Elementary continues to make progress academically as the data shows for the school year 2021-22:

- Reading and Math Interventions for all the students were established for Kinder 5th Grade students since September 2021
- Reading, Math and Science Performance levels increase during the 2021-22 STAAR administration by :
- Reading : +14 Approaches, +7 Meets, +7 Masters
- Math : + 7 Approaches , + 2 Meets
- Science : +7 Meets , +1 Master

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1: 43% of students met standard on all tests on STAAR 2021 Root Cause: Teachers lack the capacity to use quality data to plan and deliver effective first instruction.

Problem Statement 2: Limited parental involvement and attendance at parent information meetings. Root Cause: Lack of connection to the school and understanding of the importance of attending to school everyday on time.

Problem Statement 3: Teachers are not using quality/quantitative data to plan, differentiate and deliver effective instruction at Tier I and Tier II **Root Cause:** Teachers lack the knowledge to use quality/quantitative data to plan, adjust, differentiate and deliver effective first instruction and used of technology in a rigorous way. Working with 70% below grade level in the classrooms tend to be overwhelming for several teachers and it is difficult to establish instructional priorities.

Problem Statement 4: About 30% of the teachers have solid understanding of a PLC to lead to effective academic planning, strong approaches for teaching and learning, and proper assessments cycles. **Root Cause:** Vague understanding of the PLC process by teachers and coaches due to the staff rotation every year. No staff capacity had been created due to the 40%- 50% resignations at the end of the school year in the last four years. In addition, TFE coaches had been assigned as a teacher of record due to vacancies or early resignations.

Problem Statement 5: 59% of students met standard on Reading and 54% meet standards for the Math tests on STAAR 2022. While 35% met standard on the Science test **Root Cause:** Teachers lack the knowledge to use quality/quantitative data to plan, adjust and deliver effective first good instruction at Tier 1 and Tier II. Working with 70% below grade level in the classrooms tends to be overwhelming for several teachers and it is difficult to establish instructional priorities.

Problem Statement 6: About 30% of the teachers understand the PLC process for planning and delivering effective first good instruction Root Cause: Teachers and coaches have a vague understanding of the PLCs process

Problem Statement 7: Teachers are not using quality/quantitative student data to plan, differentiate and deliver effective instruction at Tier I and Tier 2. **Root Cause:** Teachers lack the knowledge to use quality/quantitative data to plan and deliver effective first good instruction at Tier 1.

Problem Statement 8: Instructional Leadership doesn't understand how to support and coach teachers to improve effective classroom instruction. Last school year the campus faced 11 leave of absences and two early resignations. Instructional coaches became full time 3rd and 4th Grade bilingual teachers as no suitable replacements were found. **Root Cause:** The school has had four principals in the last six years bringing different Instructional Coaches and leaders with no clarity in their functions. The school has 50% new teachers every school year making hard to create strong instructional capacity on campus for all grade levels.

Problem Statement 9: The mobility rate of 27% affects the learning of students at Treasure Forest Elementary. During the 2021-22, mobility rate was still 27% even though the school put in place several initiatives for parents and students to understand the importance of coming to school everyday. **Root Cause:** The economically disadvantaged percentage of students at TFE causes an instability in families being able to maintain a permanent residence. In addition, there are two women's shelters in the area. Last school year the school received 39 Kinder students out 71 students with no schooling. In addition, 31 students in grades 1-5 were enrolled in the bilingual classes for a 7% of total enrollment.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

School Process and Program summary:

Several Process and Programs had been established at TFE:

- The instructional leadership team has grown for 2022-23 to include: Principal, Assistant Principal, One Bilingual Instructional Coach, One Bilingual Interventionist and one Science Specialist
- All new teachers have a mentor assigned on campus.
- All student teachers are assigned to master level teachers and teachers are given opportunities to personalize their teaching and learning through conferences, consultants coaching and collaboration with other professionals from other SBISD campuses
- TFE will continue the implementation of community circles, and character strong to deepen student relationships by sharing experiences in our community as well as the campus Management Discipline Plan PBIS
- For 2022-22, K 2 classes will be self-contain, while 3rd 5th will be departmentalized.
- TFE will implement Science labs as a part of the weekly rotation for students in 3-5 from the BOY. We will include in the science rotation K-2 for the second semester
- The campus will have,
 - One Bilingual Instructional Coach to serve teachers and students in grades K-2
 - One Bilingual Lead Interventionist to serve teachers and students 3-5
 - One Science Specialist to serve teachers and students in grades K-5

School Processes & Programs Strengths

This school year, we will continue aligning processes and procedures across the campus in the areas of academics and social emotional learning for the students and teachers. The staff is committed to making sure they have all the tools in their toolbox in order to assure their students are improving. Our two instructional specialists will support and coach three grade levels each. They do a combination of helping with planning, coaching, modeling and giving feedback to their grade levels. They are continuing to grow as they both attend staff development that helps them to help our staff. Our goal is to provide our teachers support with instructional practices, coaching, and student interventions.

Due to the bilingual teachers shortage, our 3-5 Bilingual Interventionist had been set as a teacher of record for a 3rd Grade Bilingual Classroom where she will collaborate with an associate teacher.

We have also been provided with two Literacy Coaches to help support our district's adoption of the Units of Study. They also provided teachers support through PLCs, coaching cycles, observations, feedback, modeling, and debriefing. Our goal is that having two Literacy Coaches and two interventionists will prove beneficial to the teachers so that they feel 100% supported.

Our teachers who are new to education are assigned to a Mentor and our new to campus teachers are assigned a buddy teacher to assist and support. The lead mentor oversees their relationship and support while serving as a liaison with the administration.

For 2022-23, process and program will be established and implemented with fidelity and integrity to impact performance

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1: 30% of teachers and coaches have a good understanding of PLC practices that lead to effective and quality planning and instruction Root Cause: Limited training and follow up for the PLC implementation continuum

Problem Statement 2: Teachers are not using quality student data to plan an deliver effective instruction Root Cause: Teachers lack the capacity to use quality data to plan and deliver effective first instruction.

Problem Statement 3: Limited parental involvement and attendance at parent information meetings. Root Cause: Lack of connection to the school and understanding of the importance of attending to school everyday on time.

Problem Statement 4: For the last four years between 50% of the staff is new to TFE. **Root Cause:** The school has had four principals in the last six years bringing different initiatives each time and preventing the creation of a positive culture due to staff resignations and leave of absences. The school had 12 leave of absences in 2021-22.

Problem Statement 5: Additional Planning time is needed to implement and monitor process and programs. Root Cause: The number of minutes provided for the school day doesn't allow additional time within the school day for planning

Problem Statement 6: 59% of students met standard on Reading and 54% meet standards for the Math tests on STAAR 2022. While 35% met standard on the Science test **Root Cause:** Teachers lack the knowledge to use quality/quantitative data to plan, adjust and deliver effective first good instruction at Tier 1 and Tier II. Working with 70% below grade level in the classrooms tends to be overwhelming for several teachers and it is difficult to establish instructional priorities.

Problem Statement 7: About 30% of the teachers understand the PLC process for planning and delivering effective first good instruction Root Cause: Teachers and coaches have a vague understanding of the PLCs process

Problem Statement 8: Teachers are not using quality/quantitative student data to plan, differentiate and deliver effective instruction at Tier I and Tier 2. **Root Cause:** Teachers lack the knowledge to use quality/quantitative data to plan and deliver effective first good instruction at Tier 1.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

Perception Summary

TFE culture and climate had been negatively affected by the rotation of administrators, as well as teachers resignations. The school has had four principals in six years. Family engagement is low; however, events like Meet the Teacher, Open House, Parent Conferences, science and math night are attended by parents. Families also get involved in before and after school activities provided by the school and CIS

Teachers who have stayed with TFE over the years believe that students from poverty are entitled to the same access and equity as all other students.

Perceptions Strengths

Strengthening Positive and Effective culture and climate is a priority for the coming school year through a clear launch of the school mission, vision and collective commitments. Students, staff and community need to be connected with the school in order to increase academic achievement.

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1: A more efficient and effective communication sources is needed to connect with all families Root Cause: Communication with all parents had been limited due to the teacher and administrator rotation.

Problem Statement 2: Second language learners struggle with the curriculum, which does not always address their language challenges, especially in the areas of phonic and phonological awareness. **Root Cause:** Availability of Spanish materials for all content areas and all grade levels is not always present with the rigor required by the curriculum.

Problem Statement 3: For the last four years between 50% of the staff is new to TFE. **Root Cause:** The school has had four principals in the last six years bringing different initiatives each time and preventing the creation of a positive culture due to staff resignations and leave of absences. The school had 12 leave of absences in 2021-22.

Problem Statement 4: About 30% of the teachers have solid understanding of a PLC to lead to effective academic planning, strong approaches for teaching and learning, and proper assessments cycles. **Root Cause:** Vague understanding of the PLC process by teachers and coaches due to the staff rotation every year. No staff capacity had been created due to the 40%- 50% resignations at the end of the school year in the last four years. In addition, TFE coaches had been assigned as a teacher of record due to vacancies or early resignations.

Problem Statement 5: Limited parental involvement and attendance at parent information meetings. Root Cause: Lack of connection to the school and understanding of the importance of attending to school everyday on time.

Problem Statement 6: Professional development addressing the social emotional needs of the students from poverty is needed for staff. **Root Cause:** Teachers are compassionate, but the majority has not experienced the level of poverty which our students experience daily. Empathy and compassion at times is confused with low expectations.

Priority Problem Statements

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus goals
- HB3 Reading and math goals for PreK-3
- HB3 CCMR goals
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)
- State and federal planning requirements

Accountability Data

- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Effective Schools Framework data
- Comprehensive, Targeted, and/or Additional Targeted Support Identification data
- Accountability Distinction Designations
- RDA data

Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- STAAR Emergent Bilingual (EB) progress measure data
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Texas Primary Reading Inventory (TPRI), Tejas LEE, or other alternate early reading assessment results
- Student Success Initiative (SSI) data for Grades 5 and 8
- Student failure and/or retention rates
- Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- · Local benchmark or common assessments data
- Running Records results
- Observation Survey results
- Texas approved PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- State-developed online interim assessments
- · Grades that measure student performance based on the TEKS

Student Data: Student Groups

- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- · Migrant/non-migrant population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance and mobility data

- · At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Section 504 data
- Homeless data
- Gifted and talented data
- Dyslexia data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Completion rates and/or graduation rates data
- Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Discipline records
- Student surveys and/or other feedback
- Class size averages by grade and subject
- School safety data
- Enrollment trends

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus leadership data
- · Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data
- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact
- Equity data

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

- Organizational structure data
- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Communications data
- Capacity and resources data
- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data
- Study of best practices
- Action research results
- Other additional data

Goals

Goal 1: STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT. Treasure Forest Elementary School students will master rigorous academic standards to ensure college and career readiness.

Performance Objective 1: ACHIEVEMENT: By June 2023, Treasure Forest Elementary School will increase student performance on STAAR Grades 3-5 exams in reading and math by at least 10 points at each performance level (approaches, meets, masters).

2021-22: Reading: 59% (approaches), 29% (meets), 14% (masters); Math: 54% (approaches), 24% (meets), 8% (masters) 2020-21: Reading: 47% (approaches), 22% (meets), 7% (masters); Math: 51% (approaches), 22% (meets), 9% (masters)

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: State Accountability Report Domain 1 (available mid-August)

		NC	views	
Strategy 1: Ensure that ESL strategies are implemented with consistency school wide through staff development and		Formative		Summative
 Strategy 1: Ensure that ESL strategies are implemented with consistency school wide through staff development and raining. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Observations Walk throughs EB performance on state and local assessments Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration Team Leadership Team Teachers TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Funding Sources: Supply Materials - 199 PIC 23 - Special Education - 6399 - \$843, Software - 199 PIC 11 - Instructional Services - 6397 - \$500, Other Reading Materials - 211 - Title I, Part A - 6329 - \$6,000, Extra Duty	Oct 50%	Formative Jan	Apr	Summative June

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Provide staff development for teachers on instructional strategies that will be effective in meeting students'		Formative		Summative
academic needs. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Formal and Informal Assessments, Benchmarks, STAAR, Report Cards	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration Team Leadership Team	50%			
 TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Funding Sources: Supply and Materials - 199 PIC 25 - ESL/Bilingual - 6399 - \$2,540, Substitutes - 199 PIC 11 Instructional Services - 6112 - \$2,695, Professional Salaries - 211 - Title I, Part A - 6119 - \$105,000, Medicare 211 - Title I, Part A - 6141 - \$1,809, Employer Contribution - 211 - Title I, Part A - 6142 - \$10,801, Workers Com - 211 - Title I, Part A - 6143 - \$794, Teacher Retirement - 211 - Title I, Part A - 6146 - \$14,248, Other Reading Materials - 199 PIC 25 - ESL/Bilingual - 6329 - \$3,500 				
00 No Progress 1000 Accomplished \longrightarrow Continue/Modify	X Discont	inue		

Performance Objective 2: EARLY LITERACY: By June 2023, Treasure Forest Elementary School will increase the combined % of students reading On Grade Level or Above Grade Level on the End-of-Year Running Records assessment in each primary grade, K, 1, and 2 by 20 percentage points or \geq to 85%.

2021-22: Kindergarten 69% On/Above Grade Level; 1st Grade: 75% On/Above Grade Level; 2nd Grade: 60% On/Above Grade Level 2020-21: Kindergarten 80% On/Above Grade Level; 1st Grade: 50% On/Above Grade Level; 2nd Grade: 35% On/Above Grade Level

Evaluation Data Sources: Running Records End-of-Year Report

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Grade level Reading Intervention as part of an Accelerated Instructional Plan where all students in K-2 will		Formative		Summative
receive a personalized reading lesson based on current reading levels and grade level TEKS - State Standards	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student performance growth as measured by Reading Levels, Report Cards, Campus Trackers & Anecdotal Records				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration Team Campus and District Reading Coaches Grade level teachers	50%			
 TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Funding Sources: Supply and Materials - 199 PIC 99 - Undistributed - 6399 - \$9,175, Other Reading Materials 				
- 199 PIC 36 - Early Education - \$2,700, Other Reading Materials - Parents - 211 - Title I, Part A - 6329 - \$1,332				

Strategy 2 Details		Re	views	
Strategy 2: Provide staff development for K-2 to teachers on instructional strategies that will be effective in meeting		Formative		Summative
students' academic need and accelerate reading levels Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student performance growth as measured by Reading Levels, Report Cards, Campus Trackers & Anecdotal Records Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration Team	Oct 50%	Jan	Apr	June
Reading Interventionist Grade level teachers TEA Priorities:				
 Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction 				
Funding Sources: Supply Materials - 211 - Title I, Part A - 6399 - \$7,916				
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 3: STUDENT GROWTH (PRIMARY GRADES): By June 2023, Treasure Forest Elementary School will increase the % of students demonstrating progress in reading and math by 5% points or \geq to 85%.

2021-22: Reading - 31% met CGI; Math - 34% met CGI (Baseline Year)

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: BOY to EOY Measures of Academic Progress (MAP) Reports Grades 1 and 2

Strategy 1: (a) Implement Teachers College Curriculum to improve student academic growth and achievement in reading/language arts and English language development. (b) Provide Staff development and classroom resources to facilitate implementation. (c) Use GO, SCE, and SPED funds to purchase all materials and resources needed for implementation and to pay for internal and external staff development. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: PD attendance reports for all teachers Calendar dates of campus/district PD Campus walk-throughs and observations	Oct 55%	Formative Jan	Apr	Summative June
 (b) Provide Staff development and classroom resources to facilitate implementation. (c) Use GO, SCE, and SPED funds to purchase all materials and resources needed for implementation and to pay for internal and external staff development. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: PD attendance reports for all teachers Calendar dates of campus/district PD 		Jan	Apr	June
 (c) Use GO, SCE, and SPED funds to purchase all materials and resources needed for implementation and to pay for internal and external staff development. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: PD attendance reports for all teachers Calendar dates of campus/district PD 	55%			
Calendar dates of campus/district PD				
Compuse wells through and observations				
1 0				
MAP performance				
STAAR performance				
Lesson plans				
Mastery of daily exit ticket data should steadily improve in reading in 2-5				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
Leadership Team				
Intervention Specialists				
TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective				
Instruction				
Funding Sources: Support Personnel - 211 - Title I, Part A - 6129 - \$14,198, Misc. Contract Services - 211 - Title I, Part A - 6299 - \$30,000, Supply-At Risk - 199 PIC 30 - At Risk School Wide SCE - 6399 - \$2,400, Student Travel - 199 PIC 30 - At Risk School Wide SCE - 6412 - \$500, Student Transport - 199 PIC 30 - At				
Risk School Wide SCE - 6494 - \$500, Other Reading Materials - 199 PIC 30 - At Risk School Wide SCE - 6329 - \$4,550, Other Supply Bil - 199 PIC 30 - At Risk School Wide SCE - 6399 - \$490				

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Provide opportunities for teachers to improve their practice and ensure targeted instruction for our sub		Formative		Summative
populations such as Second Language Learners (EBs), Special Ed and Economically Disadvantaged students needs by	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
attending professional development, working collaboratively to analyze data, and planning for instruction. Funds will be used to provide substitutes, pay registration fees, work with external consultant and professional development opportunities.				
In addition, funds will be used to support after school opportunities for at-risk students	50%			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Calendar dates of campus/district PD				
Campus walk through and observations				
MAP				
STAAR data				
Anecdotal notes/SCC				
Teachers assessments				
Reading Level Assessments				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration				
Leadership Team				
Intervention Specialists				
Consultant				
Professional Development Provider				
TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing				
schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Funding Sources: Extra Duty Professional - 282 ARP21 (ESSER III Campus Allocations) - 6116 - \$29,307,				
Other Reading Materials - 282 ARP21 (ESSER III Campus Allocations) - 6329 - \$6,500, Supplies & Materials -				
282 ARP21 (ESSER III Campus Allocations) - 6399 - \$10,872, Medicare - 282 ARP21 (ESSER III Campus				
Allocations) - 6141 - \$425, Workers Comp - 282 ARP21 (ESSER III Campus Allocations) - 6143 - \$140,				
Teacher Retirement - 282 ARP21 (ESSER III Campus Allocations) - 6146 - \$3,356				
No Progress Accomplished - Continue/Modify	X Discont	tinue	I	

Performance Objective 4: STUDENT GROWTH (INTERMEDIATE GRADES): By June 2023, Treasure Elementary School will increase the % of students demonstrating progress in reading and math by 5% points or more on MOY MAP and by 20% points year over year on STAAR Progress.

2021-22: Reading - 45% met CGI; Math - 54% met CGI; 71% of STAAR Progress (Baseline Year)

Evaluation Data Sources: BOY to MOY MAP Reports Grades 3-5

Year over year STAAR progress Grades 4 and 5 (Campus Accountability Report, Domain 2A component score available in August)

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Grade level Reading and Math Intervention as part of an Tier II Intervention Plan where all students in 3-5 will		Formative		Summative
receive a personalized reading & math lessons based on current levels of performance and grade level TEKS - State Standards	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: EOY Running Records Campus and District Assessments STAAR Assessment Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Leadership Team Campus and District Instructional Coaches Grade level teachers	50%			
 TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction 				
No Progress Or Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	1	ŀ

Performance Objective 5: ENGLISH LANGUAGE ACQUISITION PROGRESS: By June 2023, the rate of Emergent Bilingual/English Learners increasing at least one Composite Score level will increase by 20 percentage points or \geq to 80%.

2021-22: TELPAS Progress Rate 55% (Based on completed TELPAS administrations in both 2021 and 2022.)

Evaluation Data Sources: State Accountability Report Domain 3

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Provide staff development for K-5 to teachers on instructional strategies that will be effective in meeting	Formative			Summative
students' academic needs, increase second language acquisition and accelerate reading levels. Effective implementation of ELLD blocks	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student performance growth as measured by Reading Levels, Report Cards, Campus Trackers & Anecdotal Records	50%			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration Team				
Reading Interventionist				
Grade level teachers				
TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Funding Sources: Misc. Contracted Services - 211 - Title I, Part A - 6299 - \$35,000, Software - 211 - Title I, Part A - 6397 - \$15,000				
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify	X Discont	tinue	1	

Performance Objective 1: INTERVENTIONS: By June 2023, Treasure Forest Elementary School will implement TIER II interventions with students identified as needing additional supports in reading and/or math.

Evaluation Data Sources: PK-CIRCLE Assessment, Kinder-TX-KEA, Grades 1-5-MAP

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Grade level Reading Intervention as part of an Accelerated Instructional Plan where all students in K-5 will		Formative		Summative
receive a personalized reading lesson based on current reading levels and grade level TEKS - State Standards Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: EOY Running Records District and Campus Assessments Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Leadership team	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Campus and District Coaches Grade Level Teachers				
 TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction 				
Funding Sources: Other Reading Materials - 199 PIC 99 - Undistributed - 6329 - \$3,300, Supply and Materials - 282 ARP21 (ESSER III Campus Allocations) - 6399 - \$22,997, Misc. Operation Expenses - 282 ARP21 (ESSER III Campus Allocations) - 6499 - \$1,500				
No Progress Own Accomplished - Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 2: CORE CHARACTERISTICS OF A T-2-4 READY GRADUATE: By June 2023, Treasure Forest Elementary School will implement at least three strategies that advance the focus on Core Characteristics for every child.

Evaluation Data Sources: Campus calendar and newsletters

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews						
Strategy 1: Grade level Reading Tier II Intervention as part of an Accelerated Instructional Plan where all students in K-5		Formative		Summative			
will receive a personalized reading and math lesson based on current levels of performance and grade level TEKS - State Standards, thus TFE students will develop strong literacy and reasoning skills.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: EOY Running Records, Campus, district and state assessments results Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators Campus and district coaches Grade level teachers	50%						
 TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction 							
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews				
Strategy 2: Grade level Math Tier II Intervention as part of an Accelerated Instructional Plan where all students in K-5 will		Formative		Summative			
receive a personalized math lesson based on current math performance levels and grade level TEKS - State Standards, thus TFE students will develop strong numeracy and reasoning skills.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Campus & District Trackers, State assessments Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators District and Campus coaches Grade level teachers	50%						
 TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction 							

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 3: Ensure that 80% of the students at TFE respond productively to feedback, praise and criticism by providing		Formative	ive Summati		
 opportunities for teachers and students to reflect on their SMART Goals. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: EOY Running Records Campus and District Trackers State Assessments Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators District and Campus Coaches Grade level Teachers TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Funding Sources: Reading Materials - Parents - 211 - Title I, Part A - 6329 - \$1,500, Supply & Materials - Parents - 211 - Title I, Part A - 6329 - \$1,500, Supply & Materials - 	Oct	Jan	Apr	June	
Parents - 211 - Title I, Part A - 6399 - \$1,332, Student Travel - 211 - Title I, Part A - 6412 - \$1,470, Transportation - Students - 211 - Title I, Part A - 6494 - \$3,000 No Progress Mo Progress Continue/Modify	X Discont	inue			

Performance Objective 1: SAFE SCHOOL ENVIRONMENT: Align academic, behavior, and discipline systems to support a safe campus culture and maximize student learning.

Evaluation Data Sources: Discipline Data Dashboard, Review effectiveness of Behavior Intervention Plans

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Provide opportunities for administrators, leadership team, teachers and staff to calibrate and improve safety		Summative			
practices on campus by attending professional development, working collaboratively to analyze data, and plan for necessaries adjustments.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Anecdotal notes Attendance reports Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration Leadership Team Safety Officer	35%				
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discont	inue	1		

Performance Objective 2: SAFETY COMMITTEE: Strengthen school safety by establishing and conducting Campus Safety Committee reviews throughout the school year.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Campus Safety Committee roster

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: CAMPUS SAFETY COMMITTEE: Establish Campus Safety Committees composed of a cross section of		Summative			
 stakeholders to look at matters related to campus safety. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Each Campus principal will recruit a safety team and provide a roster. Each campus team will meet three times per year so that all campuses will be able to refine safety practices. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators 	Oct 50%	Jan	Apr	June	
 TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction 					
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 2: HARRIS COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION: Participate in the Harris County Department of		Formative	Summative		
Education (HCDE) campus safety audit.	Oct	Jan	Apr	June	
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Campus will develop action plans to address any deficiencies as a result of safety audits. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators Safety Committee 	50%				
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
No Progress Accomplished - Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	1		

Performance Objective 3: EMERGENCY OPERATIONS: Maintain Campus Emergency Operations Procedures (EOP) that comply with SB 11, and include Standard Operating Procedures.

Evaluation Data Sources: Campus Emergency Operation Procedures Documents

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: EMERGENCY OPERATIONS PROCEDURES: Campus EOP will align to the best practices from the Texas		Formative		Summative
 School Safety Center and the Standard Operating Procedures. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Campus Emergency Operation Plan (EOP) is turned in and filed by September 1st. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction 	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: EMERGENCY OPERATIONS PROCEDURES: Update campus Emergency Operation Plan - EOP annually and train staff at the start of each school year.				Summative
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Campus procedures maintained in campus EOPs. Staff training documents maintained. EOP submitted by September 1st. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators Safety Committee 	Oct 50%	Jan	Apr	June
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Funding Sources: Textbooks - 199 PIC 11 - Instructional Services - 6321 - \$1,000				
No Progress Accomplished - Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	1	I

Goal 4: FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY. Treasure Forest Elementary School will ensure efficient and effective fiscal management of resources and operations.

Performance Objective 1: FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT: Maintain high quality financial management practices.

Evaluation Data Sources: Year-To-Date (YTD) Budget Reports (monthly, quarterly, annually). Surveys, Rosters, student performances, meeting agendas

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Conduct frequent budget meetings with Administrative Assistant to review and manage		Summative		
 money. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Error free records. Documentation of purchases and orders. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Administrative Assistant TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction 	Oct	Jan	Apr	June
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	1	1

Campus Improvement Committee

Committee Role	Name	Position
Administrator	Karina Avila	Principal
Administrator	Cristina Flores	Assistant Principal
Interventionist Specialist	Yamely Ortiz	Campus Assessment and Instruction Support Specialist
Parent	Tania Hernandez	Parent
Parent	Saby Perez	Parent
Classroom Teacher	Ann Andrade	TFE 5th Grade Teacher
Classroom Teacher	Heather Martin	TFE Kinder Grade Teacher
Interventionist Specialist	Frances Martinez	TFE Bilingual Interventionist
Parent	Gladis Lopez	Parent
Paraprofessional	Maricella Salazar	Administrative Assistant
District-level Professional	Aylin Martinez	SBISD - Director Multilingual Department

Campus Funding Summary

			199 PIC 11 - Instructional Services		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	1	1	Misc. Contract Services 62	299	\$11,630.00
1	1	1	Software 63	397	\$500.00
1	1	2	Substitutes 61	112	\$2,695.00
3	3	2	Textbooks 63	321	\$1,000.00
				Sub-Total	\$15,825.00
			Budgete	ed Fund Source Amount	\$15,825.00
				+/- Difference	\$0.00
			199 PIC 23 - Special Education		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	1	1	Supply Materials	6399	\$843.00
				Sub-Total	\$843.00
			Bud	geted Fund Source Amount	\$843.00
				+/- Difference	\$0.00
			199 PIC 25 - ESL/Bilingual		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	1	2	Supply and Materials 6	5399	\$2,540.00
1	1	2	Other Reading Materials 6	5329	\$3,500.00
				Sub-Total	\$6,040.00
			Budge	eted Fund Source Amount	\$6,040.00
				+/- Difference	\$0.00
			199 PIC 30 - At Risk School Wide SCE		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	3	1	Student Transport 6	5494	\$500.00
1	3	1	Other Supply Bil 6	5399	\$490.00
1	3	1	Other Reading Materials 6	5329	\$4,550.00
1	3	1	Student Travel 6	5412	\$500.00
1	3	1	Supply-At Risk 6	5399	\$2,400.00

			199 PIC 30 - At Risk School Wide SCE		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
				Sub-Total	\$8,440.00
			Buc	dgeted Fund Source Amount	\$8,440.00
				+/- Difference	\$0.00
			199 PIC 36 - Early Education		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	2	1	Other Reading Materials		\$2,700.00
				Sub-Total	\$2,700.00
			Buc	dgeted Fund Source Amount	\$2,700.00
				+/- Difference	\$0.00
			199 PIC 99 - Undistributed		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	1	1	Misc Contract Services	6299	\$1,767.00
1	2	1	Supply and Materials	6399	\$9,175.00
2	1	1	Other Reading Materials	6329	\$3,300.00
				Sub-Total	\$14,242.00
			Budg	geted Fund Source Amount	\$14,242.00
				+/- Difference	\$0.00
			211 - Title I, Part A		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	1	1	Other Reading Materials	6329	\$6,000.00
1	1	1	Extra Duty Professional	6116	\$5,000.00
1	1	2	Employer Contribution	6142	\$10,801.00
1	1	2	Professional Salaries	6119	\$105,000.00
1	1	2	Medicare	6141	\$1,809.00
1	1	2	Workers Com	6143	\$794.00
1	1	2	Teacher Retirement	6146	\$14,248.00
1	2	1	Other Reading Materials - Parents	6329	\$1,332.00
1	2	2	Supply Materials	6399	\$7,916.00
1	3	1	Misc. Contract Services	6299	\$30,000.00
1	3	1	Support Personnel	6129	\$14,198.00

			211 - Title I, Part A		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	5	1	Misc. Contracted Services	6299	\$35,000.00
1	5	1	Software	6397	\$15,000.00
2	2	3	Reading Materials - Parents	6329	\$1,500.00
2	2	3	Supply & Materials - Parents	6399	\$1,332.00
2	2	3	Student Travel	6412	\$1,470.00
2	2	3	Transportation - Students	6494	\$3,000.00
•				Sub-Total	\$254,400.00
				Budgeted Fund Source Amount	\$254,400.00
				+/- Difference	\$0.00
			282 ARP21 (ESSER III Campus Allocations)		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	3	2	Other Reading Materials	6329	\$6,500.00
1	3	2	Medicare	6141	\$425.00
1	3	2	Extra Duty Professional	6116	\$29,307.00
1	3	2	Teacher Retirement	6146	\$3,356.00
1	3	2	Supplies & Materials	6399	\$10,872.00
1	3	2	Workers Comp	6143	\$140.00
2	1	1	Supply and Materials	6399	\$22,997.00
2	1	1	Misc. Operation Expenses	6499	\$1,500.00
		-		Sub-Total	\$75,097.00
				Budgeted Fund Source Amount	\$75,097.00
				+/- Difference	\$0.00
				Grand Total Budgeted	\$377,587.00
				Grand Total Spent	\$377,587.00
				+/- Difference	\$0.00